Scalable Virtual Ray Lights Rendering for Participating Media

Nicolas Vibert Adrien Gruson Heine Stokholm Troels Mortensen Wojciech Jarosz Toshiya Hachisuka Derek Nowrouzezahrai

McGill University Luxion VIA University College Dartmouth College The University of Tokyo



MOTIVATION: Volume interaction only



VOLUMETRIC RENDERING



• Path tracing / Bidirectional path tracing

- Path tracing / Bidirectional path tracing
- Density estimation:
 - Volumetric Photon Mapping
 - Photon Beam
 - Photon Planes
 - "Higher-order geometric primitives"



- Path tracing / Bidirectional path tracing
- Density estimation:
 - Volumetric Photon Mapping
 - Photon Beam
 - Photon Planes
 - "Higher-order geometric primitives"
- Many lights:
 - Virtual point lights
 - Virtual spherical lights
 - Virtual ray lights
 - "Higher-order geometric primitives"



- Many-light techniques have been introduced in "instant radiosity" [Keller et al. 1997]
- Indirect illumination as a sum of direct illumination of virtual lights



Virtual point light contribution



Virtual point light contribution



• VPL vs. short VRL



Virtual ray lights contribution



$$L_m^{VRL} = \int_0^s \int_0^t \frac{VRL(u,v)}{w(u,v)^2} \, dv \, du$$

Virtual ray lights contribution VRL ${\cal V}$ $\frac{VRL(u,v)}{w(u,v)^2p(u,v)}$ L_m^{VRL} $p(u,v) \propto w(u,v)^{-2}$ U



Equal rendering time

Realistic rendering :

- Unmanageable amount of virtual lights
- Cost linear with lights

Realistic rendering:

- Unmanageable amount of virtual lights
- Cost linear with lights

<u>Aim:</u>

- Sub-linear cost
- Scalable methods [Walter et al. 2005][Walter et al. 2006][Walter et al. 2012] [Hasan et al. 2007][Ou et al. 2011][Bus et al. 2015]









Previous works have already explored a combination of VRLs with scalable techniques:

- Adaptive light-slice for virtual ray light [Frederickx al. 2015]
- Adaptive matrix column sampling and completion for rendering participating media [Huo et al. 2016]

 $\frac{VRL(u,v)}{w(u,v)^2p(u,v)} < \mathsf{B}$

B=?

$$\frac{\Phi f(u,v)T_{\gamma}(u)T_{\gamma}(w(u,v))}{w(u,v)^2 p(u,v)} < \mathsf{B}$$

 $\Phi f(u, v)$: Constant within the VRL cluster

$$\frac{\Phi f(u,v)T_r(u)T_r(w(u,v))}{w(u,v)^2 p(u,v)} < \mathsf{B}$$

 $\Phi f(u, v)$: Constant within the VRL cluster $T_r(u)$: Impossible to control, assuming worst case => 1

$$\frac{\Phi f(u,v)T_{r}(u)T_{r}(w(u,v))}{w(u,v)^{2}p(u,v)} < \mathsf{B}$$

 $\Phi f(u, v)$: Constant within the VRL cluster $T_r(u)$: Impossible to control, assuming worst case => 1 $T_r(w(u, v))$: Based on the min distance

$$\Rightarrow h_{min} \le w_k(u, v)$$
$$\Rightarrow T_r(w(u, v)) < T_r(h_{min})$$



$$\frac{\Phi f(u,v)T_{r}(u)T_{r}(w(u,v))}{w(u,v)^{2}p(u,v)} < \mathsf{B}$$

 $\Phi f(u, v)$: Constant within the VRL cluster $T_r(u)$: Impossible to control, assuming worst case => 1 $T_r(w(u, v))$: Based on the min distance

$$\Rightarrow h_{min} \le w_k(u, v)$$
$$\Rightarrow T_r(w(u, v)) < T_r(h_{min})$$



$$w_k(u,v)^2 p(u,v)$$

= $w_k(u,v)^2 p(u|v) p(v)$

$$w_k(u,v)^2 p(u,v)$$

= $w_k(u,v)^2 p(u|v) p(v)$

Worst case when VRL and sensor ray are parallel.

 $p(v) < \frac{1}{L_{max}}$, with L_{max} the maximum length inside the cluster.

$$w_k(u,v)^2 p(u,v)$$

= $w_k(u,v)^2 p(u|v) p(v)$

Worst case when VRL and sensor ray are parallel.

 $p(v) < \frac{1}{L_{max}}$, with L_{max} the maximum length inside the cluster.















$$\mathsf{B} = \frac{\Phi f(u,v) T_r(\mathbf{h}_{\min}) \Theta_{\max} \mathcal{L}_{\max}}{h_{\min}}$$

Agglomerative approach [Walter et al. 2008]:

- Not multithreaded
- Does not scale with high node overlapping $O(N^2)$

What we need:

- Fast/Parallelizable
- Agglomerative principal

Our solution: Light tree



Step 1: Partition the space with sorting



Step 2: Build local light tree that minimize the metric



Step 3: Build final tree with agglomerative process



- Equal time comparison
 - VPL with LC
 - VRL
- Two metrics
 - RMSE: sensitive to fireflies
 - SMAPE: robust to fireflies
- Isotropic medium, only medium-medium interactions

Results

| Reference | VPL LC (1M) | VRL LC (100k) | VRL (10k) |
|-----------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| | 344 secs | 370 secs | 323 secs |
| | RMSE: 9.01 | RMSE: 2.70 | RMSE: 5.46 |
| | SMAPE: 3.25 | SMAPE: 4.31 | SMAPE: 9.93 |
| | | | |

Results

| VPL LC (1M) - 147 secs RMSE: 0.33 SMAPE: 2.44 | VRL LC (100k) - 121 secs RMSE: 0.01 SMAPE 1.88 | VRL (10k) - 147 secs RMSE: 0.05 SMAPE 9.11 | > 0.05 |
|---|--|--|--------|
| | | | SMAPE |
| | | | 0.0 |

Results



Contributions:

- New bound for VRL cluster
- Efficient tree construction
- X10 Speedup

Questions ?